

"This is your last chance. After this, there is no turning back. You take the blue pill - the story ends, you wake up in your bed and believe whatever you want to believe. You take the red pill you stay in Wonderland, and I show you how deep the rabbit hole goes. Remember, all I'm offering is the truth - nothing more." Morpheus, The Matrix (1999)

I. INTRODUCTION. This is indeed your last chance. Should you continue reading, your defense acquisition training — no matter what lifecycle facet, function, or operational domain — becomes a dream from which to wake up...to something new. Digital Engineering and Management, combined with Agile Software and Open Architecture, truly is the "rabbit hole" to escape traditional defense acquisition. I am excited to share more about this trinity of digital design technologies, why their digital Wonderland excites me, and how they foretell a faster, agiler, and more competitive weapons-buying process our nation needs to succeed long term.

This *Matrix*-inspired guide is designed to reboot outdated approaches — and analog thinking — to a new spoon-bending, digital acquisition reality. It will illuminate terms and provide insights, best practices, and litmus tests for success (as best we know them) from commercial industry and bellwether Air Force programs. But digital transformation, writ large, is still in flux. Only 44 "lighthouses" of excellence are recognized worldwide in industries like automotive and microelectronics; only 3 defense programs — the T-7A RedHawk, Ground Based Strategic Deterrent (GBSD), and Next Generation Air Dominance (NGAD) — are radically digital; and only 1 program, NGAD, is positioned to transform its lifecycle through a fully digital acquisition.

So digital acquisition is still very new. Your journey will increase our collective knowledge until we shed past practices and become **truly digital Forces**. Be bold. Blaze new digital trails. And inspire the Pentagon to wake up and follow.

But do not delay. This "digital trinity" - digital engineering and management, agile software, and open architecture - is the true successor to stealth: the next big paradigm shift for military

tech dominance. **Rather than just building better systems**, **it builds systems better** – opening doors to faster design, seamless assembly, and easier upgrades – and not a moment too soon! With platform programs now decades apart – and defense industry merging into mega-primes to ferry the sparse competitions – the performance of our next system does not matter. We do not currently own the acquisition OODA¹ loop. If this remains status quo, we lose the competition with China and Russia.

Thankfully, digital acquisition foretells a "status next" if we free our minds of physical world restrictions. The digital world is now a primal acquisition battlefield where future wars will be won or lost. Seeing how deep this rabbit hole goes could not be more imperative.

II. <u>WHAT IS THE DIGITAL TRINITY?</u> (Major spoilers for The Matrix!)

"Have you ever had a dream, Neo, that you were so sure was real? What if you were unable to wake from that dream? How would you know the difference between the dream world and the real world?" _____Morpheus, The Matrix (1999)

In the sci-fi future *The Matrix* portends, reality is modeled nearly-perfectly, creating a massive multi-player, virtual reality that, unfortunately, enslaves humanity to artificial intelligence and its enforcement Agents, including the dreaded Agent Smith. (Bummer, right?) Most people grow up, learn, work, and even die entirely in the sim, except a handful who wake up in the real world. Their eyes and muscles have to be retrained (because they've never used them!) but they still know how to walk, talk, and read. All the skills and learning they acquired in the virtual world convey to the real one.

The movie pushes the philosophical boundary of simulation versus reality as far as we must in digital acquisition. If you've read this far without watching *The Matrix*, please consider it official professional development. Like the movie, we must decide when a model becomes so realistic we accept it as a full substitute for reality.

¹ Observe, Orient, Decide, Act

All good simulations capture reality, at least in part, in hopes their use improves real world operations. This idea goes way back in military history. The first flight simulators entered service in 1934 after U.S. Army Air Corps pilots were killed flying postal mail through bad weather. The Air Corps purchased six Link "Blue Box" Trainers (pictured) and the use of simulators for military flight training was born.

Link's simulator was so advanced for its time, the American Society of Mechanical Engineers designated it a historic landmark, and today's military simulators are no exception, as sophisticated as the planes they represent. End result: getting pilots, especially experienced ones, trained to fly new aircraft in record times. F-35 is a great example.



But simulators do not fully recreate reality;

pulling Gs while fighting to maintain altitude – and other psychophysiological effects – will be difficult to recreate until we can connect our brains to the aviation equivalent of Morpheus' sparring program. (And yes, that would rock!) That's why we still build training aircraft like the T-7A.

But in design, engineering, software, manufacturing, testing, and sustainment, *Matrix*-like simulation realism is happening: components and processes rendered so realistically they become digital twins of reality. Like the movie, this means we can learn things in these virtual environments and transfer that learning to the real world. Implications are profound!

Imagine taking on the Agent Smiths of acquisition - Concurrency, Learning Curve, and Integration Risk, just to name a few - by designing, assembling, testing, even sustaining hundreds of systems digitally before the first parts are bought or metals bent. Concurrency and integration? Defeated by the Ju-Jitsu of fullyintegrated models. Learning curve? Defeated by the Drunken Boxing of determinant assembly. Even "Fly Before You Buy," that timehonored Packard Principle, is now an Agent of the old acquisition system. Defeat it with a new line of acquisition scripture: "eCreate Before You Aviate." But to let our digital imaginations run wild, we need a common understanding of the digital trinity across the Air Force and Space Force. Using computers for every lifecycle function does not equal the art of digital acquisition. The art is when digital tools achieve ***authoritative automation and/or virtualization*** - when they shrink real-world activities by expanding digital ones.

Your own research and continuous Department-level training will be required here, but here are two definitions you should know before Section III. *Digital threads* are extensible analytic frameworks to ***connect*** models - and all associated data, software, and functional support that govern system lifecycle phases - to create an authoritative, digital source of truth with one-to-one realword traceability. *Digital twins* are authoritative, one-to-one models of ***individual*** real-world systems that coevolve via data feedback loops. As opposed to static baselines, these living, breathing models provide unprecedented power to create and troubleshoot. That is why the tech stack that instantiates them is of paramount importance in digital acquisition.

III. THREE PRINCIPLES OF DIGITAL ACQUISITION

1a. OWN THE TECH STACK.

"Have you ever stood there and marveled at it, marveled at its... genius? ... Some believed we lacked the programming language to describe your perfect world." _Agent Smith, The Matrix (1999)

The tech stack is your system's lifecycle "Matrix": a comprehensive environment capable of digitally modeling and massively iterating all its aspects without the shackles of real-world runtime, risk, or cost. Built correctly, your ideal eSystem "wakes up" from this digital reality with all designs, assembly and maintenance processes, testing, and even human learning intact.

Owning the tech stack is the modern-day successor to owning the tech baseline. Whereas a tech baseline is all data needed to support a system's lifecycle, a tech stack is all data, models, software, and associated infrastructure needed to create and optimize a system's lifecycle digitally. The power of having a lifecycle digital thread is the stuff of science fiction but

fraught with potential missteps. Like the movie, if you don't own your tech stack - or at least its reference architecture - it may end up owning you.

This is a paradigm shift for Air Force and Space Force Digital Acquisition. Aside from the digital lifecycle benefits — which are transformative by themselves — government tech stacks provide companies of all sizes cyber-secure infrastructure, especially when they cannot supply their own; cross-classification IT independent of hardware; and much faster capability generation leveraging automatic government certifications in the stack itself. A worthy second place would be company-owned tech stacks complying with our government reference architecture, but "they will never be as strong or as fast as you can be" with governmentowned infrastructure, especially for software and AI.

These ideas are worth further discussion, so let's go deeper down this rabbit hole.

1b. SHARE THE TECH STACK.

No team should create its own tech stack in the future. It should be available enterprise-wide, based on an enterprise reference architecture, with all tools necessary for program success. We are already on this path with Cloud One and Platform One, providing them as a Service (aaS) to programs like GBSD. But we have to go much further.

Though layers of our tech stack will naturally evolve and grow as technology improves, at a minimum, we need the following layers enterprise-wide for acquisition: Cloud aaS (#cloudOne), Platform aaS (#platformOne), Model-Based System Engineering aaS (#mbseOne), Data aaS (#dataOne), Data Analytics aaS (#analyzeOne), and Artificial Intelligence aaS (#smartOne). Edge aaS (#deviceOne) would be a welcome addition. Note these are **the same** layers being leveraged by the Advanced Battle Management System (i.e., the internet of things (IoT).mil) which is adding more layers to take this integrated tech stack onto future battlefields.

As challenging as it may sound, we are on the precipice of creating "One" enterprise-wide infrastructure and IoT.mil - available to

every program, platform, team, and operator - but we must free our minds and treat infrastructure and IT as warfighting systems. In the digital age, they **are** warfighting systems! Future development and operations must ride on one digitally - not physically separated tech stack because the pace of digital warfare now demands it. That next digital bullet must be one real-time download away.

_NEO: "Can you fly that helicopter?" _TRINITY: "Not yet." _The Matrix (1999)

Achieving "One" unified infrastructure must ***not*** mean one option. In the future, each "One" tech stack layer should be a manageable portfolio of competing offerings, all certified to work together seamlessly, keeping digital threads and strings intact. In fact, tech stack evolution should foster our fiercest competition and innovation. For example, the evolution from DevOps to DevSecOps provided security as an enterprise-wide service, a major leap forward in software development. But why stop there or wait on commercial industry for the next move? Every function that humans currently perform - whether test, air worthiness, safety, nuclear surety, anything! - may be partially or even fully automated aaS. Serial steps and functions may be streamlined and converged, informed by a single source of authoritative truth. GBSD and B-21 are already effecting this vision, dubbed "Dev*Ops," where "*" represents the string of automated layers. Join forces with these digital rock *s to end the tyranny of real-world serial processes. When you own your lifecycle Matrix, remake it as you see fit. Enjoy the power of the "One".

1c. FURNISH THE TECH STACK

Furnishing government infrastructure and tools to industry may seem counterintuitive at first (especially to industry) but the potential windfall is as huge as it is new: **authoritative automation and virtualization**. When using the same governmentapproved tech stack, it becomes your evolving baseline, design review, cost basis, workflow manager, test point anchor, even authority to operate – allowing once-discrete activities to happen continuously without paper or human impediments. Sound like science fiction? GBSD is there today. And if this is "science fact" for this mega, must-succeed nuclear program, it can be for anything else too, no matter its risk profile. But getting started is easier than you think. MBSE tools have lots of UX/UI baked in, and GBSD's entire toolset will soon be available enterprise wide - like a white rabbit for you to follow.

"There's way too much information to decode the Matrix." Cypher, The Matrix (1999)

This will place a big burden on government teams to maintain largescale infrastructure, data, certification processes, and training to enable these continuous design and cost reviews, manufacturing improvements, modernizations...continuous everything! However, the payoff of having a continuum of lifecycle activities - without the formerly-dreaded acquisition Agents Concurrency, Integration Risk, and Learning Curve (amongst others) rebooting our systems - is well worth the pangs of the paradigm shift. Air Force Materiel Command and Air Force and Space Force writ large are already gearing up for the challenge!

To achieve the first principle of digital acquisition, we can no longer be great for government at IT: we must be just plain great.

2. WARP FROM TECH STACK TO EDGE EFFORTLESSLY.

"Tank, I need a pilot program for a B-212 helicopter." Trinity, The Matrix (1999)

Setting aside the ultimate cool factor of downloading martial arts or pilot skills directly to their brains, characters of *The Matrix* clearly trust remote code will run as advertised once downloaded. Looking around legacy military systems, this is a big trust!

Until recently, successfully running code in a remote development environment did not indicate it would run successfully on a jet or satellite (much less our brains!). B-2 Defense Management System is a poignant example of why lengthy regression testing and validation and verification were needed to operate safely at the edge. Containerization and Kubernetes (K8s) flips this script.

Containerization and Kubernetes enable the software components of your design "*Matrix*", providing a predictable coding environment

that virtualizes everything your software needs to run: (i) compute, memory, storage, and networking required from operating systems and (ii) runtimes and libraries required from applications. The net result is Matrix-like "downloadability" at the edge! If a container runs on your laptop, it runs on your jet, satellite, or weapon the exact same way: no regression testing, no serial validation and verification, nada. Both F-16 and B-21 have demonstrated precisely this!

The isolation and independence benefits of K8s open the door to a sci-fi future where we push code directly, and frequently, from developers to users at paces modern warfare will almost certainly demand. How long can code remain relevant against an AI-enabled adversary, anyway? Speaking of that, combining K8s with other technologies like secure service mesh, data threading, and zero trust finally opens the door to ubiquitous microservices and AI at our military's edge *at scale*.

AI may have enslaved humanity in *The Matrix*, but its real-world power and present Achilles heel – adversarial tactics – highly motivate battlefield implementation, but *smartly*, with risks warfighters understand. Otherwise, human-to-human OODA loops will be frozen and *pwned* by machine-to-machine adversaries capable of "dodging bullets" or worse.

_NEO: "What are you trying to tell me, that I can dodge bullets?" _MORPHEUS: "No, Neo. ...when you're ready, you won't have to." The Matrix (1999)

With OODA loops now tightening to knots beyond human involvement, streaming data management, algorithm training, and software design become all-important safeties and triggers for auto-firing our own digital magazine. Fortunately, K8s allows us to isolate safety-critical functions from mission-critical - making when, where, and how we use AI an operational choice unlimited by design. But to keep our digital magazine full, we must understand - and keep up with - these rapidly-evolving software technologies. We can get everything right in the base layers of the tech stack - cloudOne and platformOne - and still fail to get useable data, code, analytics, and AI to the edge - where it matters - if (i) our software development and deployment environments are not container-native now, and whatever comes after it in future; (ii)

our data architecture, not designed for data streams and stream processing applications; (iii) our functions, applications, and services, not in scalable, enterprise-wide architectures rather than stovepipes; (iv) our networks and radios, not softwaredefined; and (v) our edge, not optimized for generalized compute and storage. There's a lot to get right for an IoT.mil!

But once we do, applying good DevSecOps principles - and innovating towards Dev*Ops - will warp software- and data-enabled "Kung Fu" right into our warfighters' hands.

To achieve the second principle of digital acquisition, "Crawl. Run. Warp!" is our new software motto - crawl-to-run via Dev*Ops and run-to-warp via an IoT.mil.

3. eCREATE BEFORE YOU AVIATE

_NEO: "This isn't real?" _MORPHEUS: "What is 'real'? How do you define 'real'? If you're talking about what you can feel...what you can taste and see, then 'real' is simply electrical signals interpreted by your brain." _The Matrix (1999)

Now that you own your tech stack - **shared** across our Department, **furnished** to industry, with **edge-warping** software - it is finally time to build ePlanes, eSatellites, eWeapons - eAnything! Done correctly, these electrical signals can be the true digital equivalent of your future physical system and its lifecycle: its digital twin and thread, respectively. But what does this look like in practice?

Let's use a real-life example where real lives depend on the answer: Formula 1 Racing. Highly competitive, this sport seeks every opportunity to gain even the slightest performance edge. What makes a car design fast enough to win is not simply answered. Aerodynamics, mass, engine torque, turn handling, even tire interaction with the road, all contribute as functions of speed and acceleration. Then there are driver preferences to consider, and the list goes on. You can see why teams historically do not know their true performance until their prototypes hit the track.

Today, there are no prototypes in Formula 1, not physical ones anyway. Every aspect of future cars - from parts and assembly; to

the complicated physics of material interactions, engine combustion, and even tire deformation; to maintenance across a grueling racing season — is meticulously modeled. These models, interconnected from upfront supplier specs to backend logistics, form one digital thread. And this digital thread is used to eCreate millions of eCars in pursuit of a winning design.

Only one physical racecar is ever built — the final car — which hits the track only two weeks later. "Fly before you Buy" no longer exists in Formula 1: digital acquisition made it completely obsolete. Taking the hint from Morpheus, "...speed is still based on a world that is built on rules." Digitally recreate that world, and you can create, iterate, and eventually dominate its speed, as real-world checkered flags attest.

But the complexity of creating a *Matrix*-like digital thread for a high-performance military system is a daunting task — not all cutting-edge systems will be equal. For instance, integrating a new weapon on a well-understood digital jet will be much different than a hypersonic weapon with little real-world data to anchor its models. Following the simple catechism below can help you work through major considerations. Otherwise your digital labors might wake up to disappointing real world results (but hopefully not AI overlords!). A great example is the Department's first ePlane, the T-7A RedHawk, that demonstrated an unprecedented real-world learning-curve reduction — T1=T100! — using digital threads to both simplify and learn assembly digitally. I'll answer the catechism questions using T-7A for illustrative purposes.

- (i) Have I represented all relevant design, performance, mission, production, test, cost, and sustainment aspects in a consistent digital thread with requisite digital program management functions?
 - T-7A: Yes. (If not, leverage future tools from MBSEaaS (courtesy of GBSD!) or add new ones if you require them. Accepting analog or disconnected models will cut your digital thread, glitch conclusions, and result in acquisition-as-usual déjà vu. Completing the digital thread should be the first phase of new programs. Subsequently using it for continuous activity and reviews is where the real magic happens.)
 What aspects should be optimized, leaned, or automated?

11

(ii)

- T-7A: Assembly time, cost, and design optimization.
- (iii) What real-world changes does this require?
 - T-7A: Better supplier tolerances and assembly practices for determinant assembly.
- (iv) Can I first model and derisk them digitally?
 - T-7A: Yes. ("Dodge this!" Learning Curve!)
- (v) Can I replicate the model in the real world such that it is my authoritative source of truth?
 - T-7A: Yes, once supplier tolerances match the model.
- (vi) Is my software fully agile and environment independent?
 - T-7A: Agile processes but not full containerization. (Cancel Ju Jitsu downloads for T-7A. ☺)
- (vii) Thinking ahead, what architecture components should be open for future system modernization and sustainment competitions?
 - T-7A: Training subsystems and other components.
- (viii) What data rights ensure this?
 - T-7A: Training system interfaces. (If you forget data rights, you're still a prisoner, "Coppertop". ☺)

The first five questions cover digital engineering and management; the sixth, agile software; and the seventh and eighth, open architecture, all the members of the digital trinity. There is obviously significant decomposition work to turn these high-level answers into subsequent work breakdown structures, but starting here will help you understand your digital gaps and potential strategies to close them.

T-7A is not perfect across the board, neither must your program in order to reap huge benefits. Even a partial digital thread, alone, can be a game-changer if encapsulating major risks. Containerized software, alone, can give legacy systems new digital dominance. Open architecture, alone, can turn modernizing weapons systems into competition ecosystems. But the more members of the digital trinity you combine, the more power of digital acquisition you unlock. Like Neo, following Trinity (the digital one in our case) will eventually lead to superhero abilities.

Consequently, if it is traditionally difficult, expensive, or time-consuming, your digital acquisition strategy should seek to replace it with a digitally-derisked alternative. In digital acquisition's overclocked reality, "Fail fast; fail often" is on

12

steroids: there is no reason not to attempt the impossible. Over 6 billion GBSD variants were digitally designed prior to selecting the one destined for the silos! This is the "eCreate before you Aviate" paradigm crossing into defense.

So the question is: "What's next?"

To achieve the third principle of digital acquisition, the digital lifecycle must become as real as the physical one, and then even more real due to its authoritative automation and virtualization. In fact, one day we should design future eSystems and view "printing" them in reality as potentially unnecessary, even wasteful, as printing electronic documents today.

IV. NGAD eSERIES EXAMPLE

_BOY: "Do not try to bend the spoon. That's impossible. Instead only try to realize the truth." _NEO: "What truth?" _ BOY: "There is no spoon." _The Matrix (1999)

Since generalities only go so far, let's consider a specific example of daring the impossible with digital acquisition: the **NGAD "Digital Century Series"** (or **"eSeries"** for short) attempt to

increase the frequency of new military aircraft competitions. Taking on the Cold War aircraft procurement system is a truly spoon-bending showdown with many daunting Agent Smiths: Industry Buy-into-Win, Infrequent Competition, Sustainment-Dominated Costs, and many more. I hope other once-invincible acquisition foes seem equally vulnerable to your digital skills once honed. Be bold!



13

As background, we're all aware U.S. military aviation has been collapsing for nearly 40 years. In the 1950s, over a dozen companies built spiraling supersonic fighters every 2-4 years, called the "Century Series," during a fiercely competitive period with the Soviet Union. In the 1970s, we still enjoyed 10 companies building fighters every 4 years. Today, we're down to 3 primes with innovation cycles now decades apart. A vicious circle, comprised of multiple locked-arm acquisition Agents, still drives this alarming trend (as shown previously).

The circle's origin (i.e., early computerization and integrated system-of-systems aircraft designs) was arguably unavoidable, even necessary, to win the Cold War, but it is a crippling millstone in the current competition with China.

Taking inspiration from the previous example, applying digital engineering and management would drop the cost of a notional future aircraft by 10 percent over a 30-year-lifecycle acquisition, with savings spread across production; research, development, test, evaluation (RDT&E); operations sustainment (O&S) as shown.²

This digitally-enabled, but still legacy, approach would



Introducing smaller, iterative aircraft lots would lower bulk purchasing power (the con) while producing a higher Competitive Index⁴ and healthier industrial base (the pro). Digital acquisition cannot defeat the laws of economics, so we must generate more modernization and sustainment savings than we lose RDT&E and

- ³ Conservatively assumes no sustainment costs until lots are operational
- ⁴ Combination of system and industry base capability, capacity, and readiness.



14

² Notional example assumes a Primary Aircraft Available of 150.

procurement efficiencies. This is where the full power of applying the digital trinity must come into play.

DIGITAL ENGINEERING AND MANAGAMENT STRATEGY: Because smaller morefrequent aircraft lots are our stated objective, full digital threading is foundational – and non-negotiable – for all platform providers: (i) enabling continuous eDesign contracts for three vendors across awarded lots, (ii) lowering cost and time for production via determinant assembly, (iii) derisking government (vice industry) subsystem selections, and (iv) derisking component commonality required for easier fleet-wide sustainment.

What an apropos script flip for this movie-themed guide! Because of limited platform and mission system providers, eSeries cannot afford locked teaming relationships between primes and suppliers. Leveraging a shared tech stack, mission systems must be developed separately, with government teams selecting optimal systemplatform pairs for all lots - making each platform a continuous competitive ecosystem where the latest tech can go on the latest jet (balancing cost and training impacts, of course). <u>Unlike the</u> <u>old paradigm, mission systems, vice platforms, now independently</u> <u>drive capability evolution</u>. Without the government owning and furnishing its digital tech stack, derisking integration and assembly would be virtually impossible (quite literally).

AGILE SOFTWARE STRATEGY: The eSeries software tech stack layers must be fully containerized to simplify integration challenges across vendors, share code across platforms, and compete mission apps separately from safety-critical code. No matter how fast eSeries spiral, *Matrix*-like "downloadability" is foundational for NGAD operational relevance in a future AI fight.

OPEN ARCHITECTURE STRATEGY: To enable the "pilot's seat" role the government must play, the <u>entire</u> eSeries reference architecture, including all major interfaces, must be government-owned. Without this critical - though less-hyped - component, the other two elements of the trinity lose much of their power.

And NGAD is not alone, nor the first, with this digital trinity strategy: **GBSD takes that title**. But that program's impossible feat of overcoming unprecedented complexity procuring 634 ICBMs, 538 launch facilities, and 1,720 miles of cabling, etc., is harder to illuminate in a short guide. **NGAD already digitally engineering** and flying a real-world, full-scale flight demonstrator packs a pithier, spoon-bending punch.

Leveraging NGAD's digital trinity, numerous trades were conducted in pursuit of affordable eSeries: fleet trades like design cycle timeline, number of aircraft per procurement lot, and total aircraft service life; competition trades like number of competing vendors and sub-vendors, incentive structures, and business cases, especially for companies not selected for production lots; and affordability trades like required system commonality between vendors, allowed aircraft variability between awarded lots, and workforce, facilities, and tooling sizing. Take away: digital acquisition does ***<u>not</u>*** put critical thinking in a Dev*Ops autopilot: it raises its bar significantly!

Of all trades conducted, the most important was the retirement age of each eSeries. Across today's Air Force, compounding sustainment costs — between 3 to 7 percent annually for planes 15 years or older — consume Air Force budgets: a thing alien to our 1950 Century Series forebears. Though not a computer-generated reality, its *Matrix*-like control over budget flexibility is worse than a sci-fi villain. Equal to it is the material nature of out-year maintenance issues themselves. Like the laws of economics, digital acquisition cannot defeat entropy and make old airframes new. Retiring old planes from future inventories is needed generally, but especially to afford more-frequent competitions.

																Trading												
								Air	cra	ft	Rel	ease	e C	ycle	Ca	iden	ce,	Yea	ars						operatio	nal		life
		5	6	7	8	9 1	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	3 19 20		21	22	2 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30			6 27 28 29	30	÷			
m	8	160	152	144	136																				(rows)		aga	Inst
	9	153	144	136	129	126	145												eSeries		frequ	anav						
	11	140	137	125	110	116	110	112																EDELTER		ттеди	епсу	
ari	12	137	127	121	114	112	107	108 106 105 103 102 DCS more expensive			(columns) (ives	the														
Ye	13	133	123	117	111	107	103		103	102								DCS more expensive DCS close to parity DCS less expensive	CS	S more expensive			(00101110	/ 9	+ V C D	0110		
e,	14	129	120	113	108	105	100	101	100	99	97								to pa	o parity	trade s	pace	e sh	lown.				
Lif.	15	126 123	11/	110	105	101	98	99	97	96	95	96	05						less expensive			-						
	16	123	114	108	103	99 97	90 93	90 94	95 93	94 92	93 91	94 92	95	94							÷	The num	bers	s in	the			
tional	18	120	111	105	100	96	93	93	92	91	90	91	92	93	93													
iio	19 20 21	119	110	103	99	95	92	92	91	91	90	90	92	93	92	91				array	capt	ure	the					
rat		119	109	103	98	95	91	92	90	90	89	90	91	92	92	90	88					~ ~ ~	~ + ~	£ .				
0pe:		118	108	102	98 07	94	91	91	90	90	89	89	90	91	91	90	88	87		percent	COS	SL O	L a					
	23	117	107	102	97	93	90	90	89	89	88	89	89	90	90	89	87	86	86	86					particul	2 10		ring
afl	24	116	107	101	97	93	90	90	89	89	88	89	89	90	90	89	87	86	86	86 8	86				partrut	ar	606	TTEP
rcraf	25	116	107	101	97	93	90	90	89	89	88	88	89	89	89	89	87	86	86	86 8	86	87			when c	omna	ared	to
Чı	26	116	107	100	96	93	90	89	89	89	88	88	89	89	89	89	87	86	86	86 8	86	87 89					arca	
4	27	115	106	100	96	93	90	89	89	89	88	88	89	89	89	89	88	87	87	86 8	87	88 90	92		those	d	idita	11v-
	28 29	110	100	100	90 07	92	90 90	00 09	69 88	89 80	00 99	00 99	89	09 00	00	89	66 80	6/ 88	6/ 97	87 8	07 88	00 90 90 01	92 94 03 05 06				<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	30	116	100	100	97	93	90	90	89	89	88	88	89	90	90	90	89	88	88	88 8	88	89 91	93 95 97	99	engineer	ed		but

traditionally-acquired (e.g., a 30-year, T-7A-like acquisition). Most of the array is a better fiscal value than both legacy and

16

digitally-enabled approaches — some as much as 25 percent! indicating just how much twilight-year modernization and O&S really costs. But eSeries in the 7-8 year cycle range provide the rapid iterations needed to be more disruptive to, vice disrupted by, a capable adversary now that air power is again in flux, just like the original Century Series era.

Selecting the (18, 8) eSeries as an exemplar, spiraling nearly four times faster than traditional acquisitions, produces many spoon-bending results, illustrated below.

Firstly, this notional eSeries awards lots of 87 aircraft every 8 years, as well as three ePlane design contracts for each future lot. This increases the rate of competition, lowers the need for buv-in industry bv maintaining steady design revenue; lowers the need for industry lock-ins by replacing, instead of upgrading, aircraft; and provides a much healthier Competition Index.⁵ It



also cuts the average age of the fleet in half.

Secondly, it increases RDT&E and production costs by 17 and 28 percent, respectively, while annihilating modernization and sustainment costs by 75 and 48 percent, but keeping total ownership cost equal to the previous (30,30) example. Assumptions obviously matter for these notional percentages. Assuming 2 unique plus 3 derivative aircraft lots (vice the 3 unique plus 2 derivative shown above) saves an additional 4 percent. Stretch those spirals to 10 years and the total cost drops another 6 percent.

But the bigger issue in question is which color of money should buy the next 50 years of air superiority. While lowering total

 5 On average, planes are newer, more capable, and more maintainable with a more active industrial base. Primary Available Aircraft remains at 150 for comparison.

ownership cost, digital acquisition *also* enables a choice of competition cadences that preference (i) development-heavy funding profiles, (ii) sustainment-heavy funding profiles, or (iii) somewhere in between. Which one is now a choice afforded by today's digital technology.

Norman Augustine, the American aerospace businessman and former Under Secretary of the Army, once quipped in his famous 1984 *Laws*: "In the year 2054, the entire defense budget will purchase just one aircraft." Based on the trend line through F-16, F-22, and F-35, he could be right. But the reason would not be the 2054 aircraft's sticker price per se, but all the dollars trapped in sustaining increasingly elderly fleets. Today's are the oldest on record.

Trying to stave off that future via mass production and low APUCs simply perpetuates the vicious circle and is just plain wrong given today's digital technology and driving threats. Look at its effects on the past 50 years of aircraft procurement: infrequent but existential mega-programs; industrial "buy-in to win;" profit shift from platform "pediatrics" to "geriatrics," industrial lockin to intellectual property; rise of the 70 percent modernization and sustainment profile; and, arguably, the driving force behind collapsing the defense industrial base into mega-primes. But we can prove Augustine wrong and break the vicious circle **if** we have the fortitude to pay more upfront until aircraft retirement savings kick in. The numbers speak for themselves. Long before smartphones, our military learned that cheaper device prices come coupled to longer locked-in service plans. "Pay for the device or pay for the long-term plan" is now a strategic national security choice that will determine much about future military lethality, the industrial base that provides it, and which color of money primarily pays for it. Like The Matrix, which system of control is a choice left up to us.

Thankfully, digital acquisition **finally** gives us a true choice to wake up from the old system. We've been trapped in the vicious circle for long enough!

1.8

V. CONCLUSION

I hope this Matrix-themed guide inspired you to enter the digital acquisition reality: (1) <u>own, share, and furnish the tech stack</u> and its reference architecture; (2) <u>warp from the tech stack to</u> <u>edge effortlessly</u>; and (3) <u>eCreate before you Aviate</u>.

This is what defense acquisition has been waiting for - a new paradigm, a digital one, that can wake up to a new reality for both taxpayers and warfighters. Its spoon-bending possibilities await us. It's time to wake up!

"I didn't come here to tell you how this is going to end. I came here to tell you how it's going to begin. ... A world without rules and controls, without borders or boundaries. A world where anything is possible." _Neo, The Matrix (1999)

FAIR USE NOTICE: This presentation may contain information that is subject to copyright, the use of which may not have been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. All quotations, images, trademarks, copyrights, and other intellectual property used herein are the property of their respective owners. The Department of the Air Force is making this material available for official purposes only. This use constitutes a fair use, as defined by 17 USC Section 107. In accordance with that Section, this information is for purposes of teaching, commentary, scholarship, and education, and is not for profit. For any uses of the material provided in this presentation, permission should be obtained from the copyright owner.